arXiv:1606.05397v1 [math.RA] 17 Jun 2016

The unbroken spectrum of type-A Frobenius seaweeds

Vincent E. Coll, Jr., Matthew Hyatt, and Colton Magnant

June 20, 2016

Department of Mathematics, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA, USA
Mathematics Department, Pace University, Pleasantville, NY, USA
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA, USA

Abstract

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Frobenius Lie algebra, then for certain $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ the natural map $\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ given by $x \longmapsto F[x, -]$ is an isomorphism. The inverse image of F under this isomorphism is called a principal element. We show that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Frobenius seaweed subalgebra of $A_{n-1} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ then the spectrum of the adjoint of a principal element consists of an unbroken set of integers whose multiplicites have a symmetric distribution. Our proof methods are constructive and combinatorial in nature.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 17B20, 05E15
Key Words and Phrases: Frobenius Lie algebra, seaweed, biparabolic, principal element, meander, eigenvalue, spectrum, adjoint representation

1 Introduction

A *biparabolic* subalgebra of a reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is the intersection of two parabolic subalgebras whose sum is $\mathfrak{g}$. They were first introduced in the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ by Dergachev and A. Kirillov [**8**] where such algebras were called Lie algebras of *seaweed* type. Associated to each seaweed subalgebra of the simple Lie algebra $A_{n-1} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ is a certain planar graph called a *meander*. One of the main results of [**8**] is that the algebra's index may be computed by counting the connected components and cycles of its associated meander.[1] Of particular interest are those seaweed algebras of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ whose associated meander graph consists a single path. Such algebras have index zero. More generally, algebras with index zero are called *Frobenius*, and have been extensively studied in the context of invariant theory [**14**] and are of special interest in deformation theory and quantum group theory stemming from their connection to the classical Yang-Baxter equation. See [**10**] and [**11**] for examples.

To fix the notation, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. For any functional $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ one can associate the skew-symmetric and bilinear *Kirillov form* $B_F(x, y) = F[x, y]$. The index of $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined to be the minimum dimension of the kernel of B_F as F ranges over $\mathfrak{g}^*$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is Frobenius if its index is zero. Equivalently, $\mathfrak{g}$ is Frobenius if there is a functional $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that B_F is non-degenerate. We call such an F a *Frobenius functional* and the natural map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ defined by $x \mapsto F[x, -]$ is an isomorphism. The image of F under the inverse of this map is called a *principal element* of $\mathfrak{g}$ and will be denoted $\hat{F}$. It is the unique element of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$F \circ \mathrm{ad}\hat{F} = F([\hat{F}, -]) = F.$$

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is Frobenius, its set of Frobenius functionals is, in general, quite large; forming an open subset of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ in the Zariski and Euclidean topologies (see [**15**] and [**8**]).

As a consequence of Proposition 3.1 in [**15**], Ooms established that the spectrum of the adjoint of a principal element of a Frobenius Lie algebra is independent of the principal element chosen to compute it (see also [**12**], Theorem 3). Subsequently, Gerstenhaber and Giaquinto asserted, in particular ([**12**], Theorem 2), that if the algebra is a seaweed subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ then the spectrum consists of an unbroken set of integers. However M. Dufflo, in a private communication to the authors, indicated that the proof of the

[1] Recently, the current authors have extended this line of inquiry to seaweed subalgebras of the simple Lie algebra $C_n = \mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ by introducing the notion of a *symplectic meander* [**6**] (see also [**17**]).

unbroken property is incorrect. The primary goal of this article is to provide a complete and correct proof of the unbroken spectrum assertion, but we also show that the multiplicities of the spectrum's eigenvalues form a symmetric distribution (see Theorem 3). The symmetry result is not an afterthought. It is, in fact, critical to our proof of the unbroken spectrum result. Our methods here are constructive and combinatorial in nature.

2 Seaweeds

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}'$ be two parabolic subalgebras of a reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. If $\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{g}$ then $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{p}'$ is called a *seaweed*, or in the terminology of A. Joseph **[13]** *biparabolic*, subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. In what follows, we further assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple and comes equipped with a triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_-$$

where $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{u}_+$ and $\mathfrak{u}_-$ are the subalgebras consisting of the upper and lower triangular matrices, respectively. Let Π be the set of $\mathfrak{g}$'s simple roots and for $\beta \in \Pi$, let $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ denote the root space corresponding to β. A seaweed subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{p}'$ is called *standard* if $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_+$ and $\mathfrak{p}' \supseteq \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_-$. In the case that $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{p}'$ is standard, let $\Psi = \{\beta \in \Pi : \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta} \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$, $\Psi' = \{\beta \in \Pi : \mathfrak{g}_\beta \notin \mathfrak{p}'\}$, and denote the seaweed by $\mathfrak{p}(\Psi \mid \Psi')$.

Let $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ be the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with trace zero and consider the triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ as above. Let $\Pi = \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}\}$ be the set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ with the standard ordering and let let $\mathfrak{p}_n^{\mathrm{A}}(\Psi \mid \Psi')$ denote a seaweed subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ where Ψ and Ψ' are subsets of Π. Let C_n denote the set of sequences of positive integers whose sum is n (i.e., C_n is the set of compositions of n). It will be convenient to index seaweeds of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ by pairs of elements of C_n. Let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ denote the power set of a set X. Let φ_{A} be the usual bijection from C_n to a set of cardinality $n-1$. That is, given $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m) \in C_n$, define $\varphi_{\mathrm{A}} : C_n \to \mathcal{P}(\Pi)$ by

$$\varphi_{\mathrm{A}}(\underline{a}) = \{\beta_{a_1}, \beta_{a_1+a_2}, \ldots, \beta_{a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_{m-1}}\}.$$

Now, following the notational conventions established in **[3]** define the *type* of the seaweed $\mathfrak{p}_n^{\mathrm{A}}(\varphi_{\mathrm{A}}(\underline{a}) \mid \varphi_{\mathrm{A}}(\underline{b}))$ to be the symbol

$$\frac{a_1|a_2|\ldots|a_m}{b_1|b_2|\ldots|b_t}, \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i = \sum_{j=1}^{t} b_j = n.$$

By construction, the sequence of numbers in $\underline{a}$ determines the heights of triangles below the main diagonal in $\mathfrak{p}_n^{\mathrm{A}}(\varphi_{\mathrm{A}}(\underline{a}) \mid \varphi_{\mathrm{A}}(\underline{b}))$, which may have nonzero entries, and the sequence of numbers in $\underline{b}$ determines the heights of triangles above the main diagonal. For example, the seaweed $\mathfrak{p}_6^{\mathrm{A}}(\{\beta_2\} \mid \{\beta_1, \beta_3\})$ of type $\frac{2|4}{1|2|3}$ has the following shape, where * indicates the possible nonzero entries. See Figure 1.



Figure 1: A seaweed of type $\frac{2|4}{1|2|3}$

As noted in the introduction, a distinguished class of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ are those whose index is zero. The *index* of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is an important invariant and may be regarded as a generalization of the Lie algebra's rank: $\operatorname{ind}\mathfrak{g} \leq \operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{g}$, with equality when $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive. More formally, the index of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$\operatorname{ind}\mathfrak{g} = \min_{F\in\mathfrak{g}^*} \dim(\ker(B_F)),$$

where B_F is the associated skew-symmetric *Kirillov form* defined by $B_F(x,y) = F([x,y])$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Such algebras are called *Frobenius*. We will be exclusively interested in Frobenius seaweed subalgebras of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ and from here on, we tacitly assume that all seaweeds are subalgebras of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$.

3 Meanders

Following Dergechev and A. Kirillov [8], we associate a planar graph to each seaweed of type $\frac{a_1|a_2|\ldots|a_m}{b_1|b_2|\ldots|b_t}$ as follows. Line up n vertices horizontally and label them $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$. Partition the set of vertices into two set partitions, called *top* and *bottom*. The top partition groups together the first a_1 vertices, then the next a_2 vertices, and so on, lastly grouping together the last a_m vertices. In a similar way, the bottom partition is determined by the sequence $b_1, \ldots, b_t$. We call each set within a set partition a *block*. For each block in the top (likewise bottom) partition we build up the graph by adding edges in the same way. First, add an edge from the first vertex of a block to the last vertex of the same block drawn concave down (respectively concave up in the bottom part case). The edge addition is then repeated between the second vertex and the second to last and so on within each block of both partitions. More explicitly, given vertices v_j, v_k in a top block of size a_i, there is an edge between them if and only if $j + k = 2(a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_{i-1}) + a_i + 1$. If v_j, v_k are in a bottom block of size b_i, there is an edge between them if and only if $j + k = 2(b_1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_{i-1}) + b_i + 1$. The resulting undirected planar graph is called the *meander* associated to the given seaweed. We say that the meander has the same type as its associated seaweed. See the the left panel of Figure 2.

Figure 2: A meander of type $\frac{2|4}{1|2|3}$

One of the main results of **[8]** is that the index of a seaweed may be computed by counting the connected components and cycles of its associated meander. In particular, a seaweed is Frobenius precisely when its associated meander consists of single path. In this case we also say that the meander is Frobenius.

4 Frobenius functionals

To each seaweed, there is a canonically defined functional developed by Dergachev and A. Kirillov in **[8]**. To present the definition of this functional, we require some preliminary notation. Let e^*_{ij} denote the linear functional which selects the (i,j) entry of a given $n \times n$ matrix. If S is a subset of the indices (i,j) with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, then F_S denotes the functional $\sum_{s\in S} e^*_s$. We say that S *supports* F_S and the *directed graph of the functional*, $\Gamma(S)$, has vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ with an arrow from v_i to v_j whenever $(i,j) \in S$.

If we begin with a meander graph and orient the top edges with a counterclockwise orientation and the bottom edges with clockwise orientation, the resulting directed meander graph is the directed graph of the Dergachev-Kirillov functional. Henceforth, we shall assume that all meanders come equipped with this orientation, unless otherwise stated. We let MS denote the set of indices (i,j) such that there is a directed edge from i to j in M. (See the right side of Figure 2 where the directed edges of M are $\{(2,1),(6,3),(5,4),(2,3),(4,6)\}$ and $F_{MS} = e^*_{2,1} + e^*_{6,3} + e^*_{5,4} + e^*_{2,3} + e^*_{4,6}$.) The Dergachev-Kirillov functional is *regular* in the sense that F_{MS} realizes the smallest possible dimension for the kernel of $F([y,-])$ where F ranges over all linear functionals. In particular, F_{MS} is Frobenius precisely when M is Frobenius.

5 Principal Elements

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Frobenius Lie algebra, then for a Frobenius functional $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ the natural map $\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ given by $x \longmapsto F[x, -]$ is an isomorphism. The inverse image of F under this isomorphism is called a *principal element* of $\mathfrak{g}$ (corresponding to F) and will be denoted $\hat{F}$. It is the unique element of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $F([\hat{F}, -]) = F$. As noted in the introduction, the spectrum of the adjoint representation of $\hat{F}$ is independent of which principal element is used to compute it.

In practice, given a Frobenius seaweed $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(n)$, it is desirable to construct $\hat{F}$ and the spectrum of ad $\hat{F}$ directly from its directed meander graph M. Following Gerstenhaber and Giaquinto we have the following construction (see **[11]** and **[12]**). Define the *measure* of path from vertex v_i to vertex v_j in M to be the number of forward edges minus the number of backward edges encountered when moving along the path from v_i to v_j. Fix any vertex v in M. Construct a diagonal matrix D by setting the i^{th} diagonal entry of D equal to the measure of the path from v_i to v. Then $\hat{F}$ is obtained from D by adding an appropriate multiple of the identity matrix, so that the resulting matrix has trace zero. For all $e_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $i \neq j$, $e_{i,j}$ is an eigenvector of $\mathrm{ad}\hat{F} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$. The corresponding eigenvalue is the measure of the path from v_i to v_j in M. Additionally, $\mathrm{ad}\hat{F}(h) = 0$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, so $n-1$ zeros must be added to the list of eigenvalues.

Example 1. Consider the meander M of type $\frac{2|4}{1|2|3}$ shown in Figure 3. Since M is Frobenius, $F_{MS} = e^*_{2,1} + e^*_{6,3} + e^*_{5,4} + e^*_{2,3} + e^*_{4,6}$ is Frobenius.



Figure 3: The directed graph of the meander of type $\frac{2|4}{1|2|3}$

The principal element is computed as follows. Using $v = v_5$, we have $D = \mathrm{diag}(-3, -2, -3, -1, 0, -2)$, and so

$$\hat{F} = D + \frac{11}{6} = \mathrm{diag}\left(-\frac{7}{6}, -\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{7}{6}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{11}{6}, -\frac{1}{6}\right).$$

Now, to represent the eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenvectors, we make use of a 6×6 bookkeeping matrix wherein each bold entry is the eigenvalue of $e_{i,j}$, provided $i \neq j$. The bold zero in the $(i,i)^{\text{th}}$ entry represents the eigenvalue of $e_{i,i} - e_{i+1,i+1}$. See Figure 4.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{-1} & \mathbf{1} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{-1} & \mathbf{-2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Figure 4: The eigenvalues of the seaweed of type $\frac{2|4}{1|2|3}$

Thus, the eigenvalues are given by the multiset $\{-2, -1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3\}$. Note also for this example that if we let d_i be the dimension of the eigenvalue i, then the sequence $\{d_i\}$ is both symmetric and unimodal about one half.

Remark 2. It is not surprising that the eigenvalues in the above example are integers. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Frobenius subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$, and F_S is a Frobenius functional such that as an undirected graph $\Gamma(S)$ is a tree, then the Gerstenhaber-Giaquinto construction of a principal element is valid. Therefore, whenever $\Gamma(S)$ is a tree, the spectrum consists only of integers.

Since the spectrum of the adjoint of the principal element is an invariant of a Frobenius Lie algebra, we may unambiguously refer to it as the spectrum of the algebra. We are now in a position to state the main result of this paper which is the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *For $n \geq 2$, the spectrum of a Frobenius seaweed subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ consists of an unbroken set of integers centered at one half. Moreover, the dimensions of the associated eigenspaces form a symmetric distribution about one half.*

6 The proof of Theorem 3

We address the two items in the theorem separately, dealing symmetry in Section 6.1 and the unbroken property in Section 6.2. As noted in the introduction, the symmetry result is essential to establish the unbroken property.

6.1 Symmetry

Given a Frobenius meander M of type $\frac{a_1|a_2|\ldots|a_m}{b_1|b_2|\ldots|b_t}$, let $m(i,j)$ denote the measure of the path from v_i to v_j. Given a top block of vertices A of size a_k, we say that the eigenvalues contributed by A is the following multiset

$$\{m(i,j) \mid v_i, v_j \in A \text{ and } i > j\} \cup \{0^{\lfloor \frac{a_k}{2} \rfloor}\}, \tag{1}$$

where $\{q^l\}$ denotes a multiset consisting of q repeated l times. Similarly, given a bottom block of vertices B of size b_k, we say that the eigenvalues contributed by B is the following multiset

$$\{m(i,j) \mid v_i, v_j \in B \text{ and } i < j\} \cup \{0^{\lfloor \frac{b_k}{2} \rfloor}\}. \tag{2}$$

Note that $\sum \lfloor \frac{a_k}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{b_k}{2} \rfloor = n-1$, since a block of size a_k has precisely $\lfloor \frac{a_k}{2} \rfloor$ edges, and there are $n-1$ edges in M. It follows that the eigenvalues contributed by each block is a multiset partition of all the eigenvalues of the seaweed. The following lemma implies the symmetry property of Theorem 3.

Lemma 4. *Let A be any top or bottom block of a Frobenius seaweed of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ with $n \geq 2$. Given any integer l, let d_l be the multiplicity of the eigenvalue l in the multiset of eigenvalues contributed by A. The sequence (d_l) is symmetric about one half.*

Proof. Let $A = \{v_s, v_{s+1}, \ldots, v_{s+a_k-1}\}$ be a top block of size a_k. The argument when A is a bottom block is similar and will be omitted. We will partition the eigenvalues contributed by A into pairs, such that the mean of each pair is one half.

For each pair of vertices $v_i, v_j \in A$ such that $i > j$ and $i + j = a_k + 2s - 1$, pair the eigenvalue $m(i,j)$ with one of the $\lfloor \frac{a_k}{2} \rfloor$ zeros from (1) that is not a measure of a path. By the definition of the meander M we have $m(i,j) = 1$, thus the mean of each pair is one half.

For each pair of vertices $v_i, v_j \in A$ such that $i > j$ and $i + j > a_k + 2s - 1$, consider the pair of eigenvalues $\{m(i,j), m(j, a_k + 2s - 1 - i)\}$. Note that $j > a_k + 2s - 1 - i \geq s$, thus $m(j, a_k + 2s - 1 - i)$ is indeed an eigenvalue contributed by A. Also note that

$$j + (a_k + 2s - 1 - i) = a_k + 2s - 1 + (j - i) \leq a_k + 2s - 2.$$

This means that each eigenvalue $m(i,j)$ such that $i + j > a_k + 2s - 1$ can be paried with a unique eigenvalue $m(j, a_k + 2s - 1 - i)$ such that $j + (a_k + 2s - 1 - i) \leq a_k + 2s - 2$. Lastly, note that

$$m(i,j) + m(j, a_k + 2s - 1 - i) = m(i, a_k + 2s - 1 - i) = 1,$$

by the definition of M. Therefore, the mean of each pair is again one half. □

6.2 Unbroken

Every Frobenius meander can be constructed by a unique sequence of graph theoretic moves, which we call Winding-up moves (see Lemma 8). These moves are the inverse of Panyushev's formula for the index of a seaweed **[16]**. We will prove the unbroken property by induction on the number of Winding-up moves.

Our inductive hypothesis will be that the set of eigenvalues within each block (see $\sigma(\overleftarrow{A})$ and $\sigma(\overrightarrow{A})$ from equations (3) and (4) below) of a Frboenius meander is in fact an unbroken set. We will also need an additional inductive hypothesis that a certain subset of the eigenvalues within each block is an unbroken set (see $\tau(\overleftarrow{A})$ and $\tau(\overrightarrow{A})$ from equations (5) and (6) below). In the inductive step of the proof, we rely on the properties of what we call simple eigenvalues, which we define next.

Definition 5. If v_i and v_{i+1} are the same top (respectively bottom) block of a Frobenius meander, we call $m(i+1,i)$ (respectively $m(i,i+1)$) a *simple eigenvalue*.

The simple eigenvalues are so named since they are the eigenvalues (of the adjoint action of the principal element) of the simple root vectors of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ contained in the seaweed. The following lemma details the properties of simple eigenvalues that will be used in the proof of the unbroken spectrum property.

Lemma 6. *Let M be a Frobenius meander. We have the following items.*

1. *Every eigenvalue $m(k,j)$ of M is a sum of simple eigenvalues. Namely $m(k,j) = \sum_{i=k}^{j-1} m(i,i+1)$ if $k < j$, or $m(k,j) = \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} m(i+1,i)$ if $j < k$.*
2. *If there is an edge from v_{i+1} to v_i, then $m(i+1,i) = 1$. If there is an edge from v_i to v_{i+1}, then $m(i,i+1) = 1$. This can only happen at the center of a block of even size.*
3. *If there is an edge from v_{i+2} to v_i, then $m(i+2,i+1) + m(i+1,i) = 1$. If there is an edge from v_i to v_{i+2}, then $m(i,i+1) + m(i+1,i+2) = 1$. This can only happen at the center of a block of odd size.*
4. *If v_i, v_{i+1} are in the same top block, and in the same bottom block, then $m(i+1,i) = -m(i,i+1)$.*
5. *If there is an edge from v_{i+j} to v_i where $j \geq 3$, then $m(i+j,i+j-1) = -m(i+1,i)$. If there is an edge from v_i to v_{i+j} where $j \geq 3$, then $m(i,i+1) = -m(i+j-1,i+j)$.*

Proof. Items 1, 2, 3, and 4 are obvious. For Item 5 note that if there is an edge from v_{i+j} to v_i, then

$$1 = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} m(i+k+1,i+k).$$

Since $j \geq 3$ there is also an edge from v_{i+j-1} to v_{i+1}, it follows that

$$1 = \sum_{k=1}^{j-2} m(i+k+1,i+k).$$

A similar argument holds when there is an edge from v_i to v_{i+j}. □

All of the simple eigenvalues can be efficiently computed as follows. Start from the center of a block of even size and apply Item 2 (if M is Frobenius and $n \geq 2$, then there is at least one block of even size). Next apply Item 4, and then apply either Item 3 or 5. Repeat the process of applying Items 4 followed by Item 3 or 5, until Item 4 cannot be applied. Repeat this procedure for each block of even size. Since M is a Frobenius meander (i.e. a single path), every simple eigenvalue can be determined from this process.

In Figure 5 below, simple eigenvalues $m(i+1,i)$ are placed between v_i, v_{i+1} above the horizontal line of the vertices, and simple eigenvalues $m(i,i+1)$ are placed below. (The dotted horizontal line is a visual aid to distinguish between simple eigenvalues - it is not an edge.)



Figure 5: Simple eigenvalues for the meander $\frac{6|5|3}{14}$

Lemma 7. *The absolute value of every simple eigenvalue is either 1, 2, or 3.*

Proof. When using Lemma 6 to determine the simple eigenvalues, the first simple eigenvalue is positive. Item 4 is then applied, and the next simple eigenvalue is negative. One then uses Item 3 or 5 to obtain the next simple eigenvalue, which must be positive. Since this process is repeated, the pair of simple eigenvalues involved in using Item 3 the first time is -1 and 2. If Item 3 is applied a second time before starting from the center of another block of even size, then the pair of simple eigenvalues involved is -2 and 3.

Since the meander is Frobenius with $n \geq 2$, there are exactly two blocks of odd size. This means Item 3 can only be applied at most two times, and subsequent applications of Items 4 and 5 do not change the absolute value of the simple eigenvalues. □

The following Lemma[2] is a special case of the well-known formula of Panyushev (see **[16]**, Theorem 4.2). We find that any Frobenius meander can be contracted to the empty meander through a deterministic sequence of graph-theoretic moves, each of which is uniquely determined by the structure of the meander at the time of move application.

Lemma 8 (Winding-down)**.** *Let M be a Frobenius meander of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ with $n \geq 2$, and let M have type $\frac{a_1|a_2|\ldots|a_m}{b_1|b_2|\ldots|b_t}$. We have the following moves:*

1. **Block Elimination:** *If $a_1 = 2b_1$, then $M \mapsto \dfrac{b_1|a_2|a_3|\ldots|a_m}{b_2|b_3|\ldots|b_t}$.*
2. **Rotation Contraction:** *If $b_1 < a_1 < 2b_1$, then $M \mapsto \dfrac{b_1|a_2|a_3|\ldots|a_m}{(2b_1 - a_1)|b_2|b_3|\ldots|b_t}$.*
3. **Pure Contraction:** *If $a_1 > 2b_1$, then $M \mapsto \dfrac{a_1 - 2b_1|b_1|a_2|a_3|\ldots|a_m}{b_2|b_3|\ldots|b_t}$.*
4. **Flip-Down:** *If $a_1 < b_1$, then $M \mapsto \dfrac{b_1|b_2|b_3|\ldots|b_t}{a_1|a_2|a_3|\ldots|a_m}$.*

Note that we need not consider the case $a_1 = b_1$ since we are presuming that the meander is Frobenius and so homotopically trivial. The following result is immediate by simply reversing each move in Lemma 8.

Lemma 9 (Winding-up)**.** *Every Frobenius meander with at least 2 vertices is the result of a sequence of the following moves applied to the one vertex meander. Given a meander M of type $\frac{a_1|a_2|\ldots|a_m}{b_1|b_2|\ldots|b_t}$, create a meander M' by one of the following moves:*

1. **Block Creation:** *$M \mapsto M'$ of type $\dfrac{2a_1|a_2|\ldots|a_m}{a_1|b_1|b_2|\ldots|b_t}$.*
2. **Rotation Expansion:** *$M \mapsto M'$ of type $\dfrac{(2a_1 - b_1)|a_2|\ldots|a_m}{a_1|b_2|\ldots|b_t}$, provided that $a_1 > b_1$.*
3. **Pure Expansion:** *$M \mapsto M'$ of type $\dfrac{a_1 + 2a_2|a_3|a_4|\ldots|a_m}{a_2|b_1|b_2|\ldots|b_t}$.*
4. **Flip-Up:** *$M \mapsto M'$ of type $\dfrac{b_1|b_2|b_3|\ldots|b_t}{a_1|a_2|a_3|\ldots|a_m}$.*

Lastly, we define the sets that will be needed in the statement and proof of Lemma 10 below, which establishes that the set eigenvalues from each block is unbroken. Let M be a Frobenius meander and let A be a subset of its vertices. Define the following sets of measures (note that multisets are not needed to prove the unbroken property).

$$\sigma(\overleftarrow{A}) = \{m(i,j) \mid v_i, v_j \in A \text{ and } i \geq j\}, \tag{3}$$

$$\sigma(\overrightarrow{A}) = \{m(i,j) \mid v_i, v_j \in A \text{ and } i \leq j\}. \tag{4}$$

[2] A superset of these Winding-down moves was first introduced in **[7]** where the *Signature of a meander* was introduced and used to develop index formulas for Type-A seaweeds (see also **[5]**).

Also, if A has leftmost vertex v_j and rightmost vertex v_p, define

$$\tau(\overleftarrow{A}) = \{m(i,j) \mid j \le i \le p\}, \tag{5}$$

$$\tau(\overrightarrow{A}) = \{m(i,p) \mid j \le i \le p\}. \tag{6}$$

Lemma 10. *For $n \ge 2$, if M is Frobenius meander with n vertices, then $\sigma(\overleftarrow{A})$ is an unbroken set for every top block A, and $\sigma(\overrightarrow{B})$ is an unbroken set for every bottom block B.*

Proof. Our proof is by induction on the number of Winding-up moves from Lemma 9, that $\sigma(\overleftarrow{A})$ and $\tau(\overleftarrow{A})$ are unbroken for every top block, and $\sigma(\overrightarrow{B})$ and $\tau(\overrightarrow{B})$ are unbroken for every bottom block. This will prove Lemma 10 since each unbroken set contains zero. We note that we will need the inductive hypothesis regarding a set of the form $\tau(\overleftarrow{A})$ to prove that a set of the form $\sigma(\overleftarrow{A})$ is unbroken when a Pure Expansion moved is performed (see equation (22) below). Also, we need not consider the Flip-up move since this merely replaces M with an inverted isomorphic copy and so has no effect on our eigenvalue calculations.

The base of the induction is the Frobenius seaweed $\frac{2}{1|1}$. For the top block we have $\sigma(\overleftarrow{A}) = \tau(\overleftarrow{A}) = \{0,1\}$. For each bottom block $\sigma(\overrightarrow{B}) = \tau(\overrightarrow{B}) = \{0\}$. Now, let M be a Frobenius meander of type $\frac{a_1|a_2|\ldots|a_m}{b_1|b_2|\ldots|b_t}$. By way of induction, we assume that the all the sets mentioned in our inductive hypothesis are unbroken. In what follows we break the proof into three cases based on which of the Winding-up moves is performed to produce a larger Frobenius meander M'. We will make use of the following notation. Given any sets S, T and an integer c, define $S + c = \{s + c \mid s \in S\}$, $-S = \{-s \mid s \in S\}$, and $S + T = \{s + t \mid s \in S \text{ and } t \in T\}$. Given set of vertices A and B where all the vertices of A lie to the left of all the vertices in B, define $\sigma(A \leftarrow B) = \{m(j,i) \mid v_i \in A \text{ and } v_j \in B\}$, and $\sigma(A \rightarrow B) = \{m(i,j) \mid v_i \in A \text{ and } v_j \in B\}$.

Case 1. *A Block Creation move is performed.*

Let A be the set consisting of the first a_1 vertices of M, namely $A = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{a_1}\}$. After the Block Creation is performed, let A' be the set consisting of the first a_1 vertices of M', namely $A' = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{a_1}\}$. Let B' be the set consisting of the next a_1 vertices of M', namely $B' = \{v_{a_1+1}, \ldots, v_{2a_1}\}$.

Let $k = a_1 - 1$ and for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ let $\alpha_i = m(i+1, i)$. In this notation, the simple eigenvalues of first top block of M, read from left to right are $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$. Similarly, the simple eigenvalues of first top block of M', also read from left to right are $\alpha_k, \ldots, \alpha_1$. The simple eigenvalues for the first top block of M' are $-\alpha_k, \ldots, -\alpha_1, 1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$. And the simple eigenvalues for every other block of M' are the same as the simple eigenvalues of the block of corresponding size in M. For example, see Figure 6 below where $k = 3$.



Figure 6: Block Creation applied to M (left) to obtain M' (right), with simple eigenvalues shown

For the new bottom block of size a_1, clearly $\sigma(\overrightarrow{A'}) = \sigma(\overleftarrow{A})$ and $\tau(\overrightarrow{A'}) = \tau(\overleftarrow{A})$, and by induction both $\sigma(\overleftarrow{A})$ and $\tau(\overleftarrow{A})$ are unbroken. For the new top block of size $2a_1$, we claim that the following equations hold:

$$\sigma(\overleftarrow{A'}) = -\sigma(\overleftarrow{A}), \tag{7}$$

$$\sigma(\overleftarrow{B'}) = \sigma(\overleftarrow{A}), \tag{8}$$

$$\sigma(A' \leftarrow B') = \left[\sigma(\overleftarrow{A}) + 1\right] \cup \left[-\sigma(\overleftarrow{A}) + 1\right], \tag{9}$$

$$\tau(\overleftarrow{A' \cup B'}) = \left[\tau(\overleftarrow{A}) - 1\right] \cup \tau(\overleftarrow{A}). \tag{10}$$

Equations (7), (8), and (9) are obvious. To prove equation (10), let q be an integer satisfying $k+1 \geq q \geq 1$. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i = 1$, so we have

$$-\alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1} - \cdots - \alpha_q = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{q-1} - 1 \in \tau(\overleftarrow{A}) - 1.$$

If r is an integer satisfying $0 \leq r \leq k$, then

$$-\alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1} - \cdots - \alpha_1 + 1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_r = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_r \in \tau(\overleftarrow{A}).$$

Since $\sigma(\overleftarrow{A})$ is an unbroken set containing 0, it follows that the union of the right hand sides of equations (7), (8), and (9) is unbroken. And since $\tau(\overleftarrow{A})$ is an unbroken set, it also follows that the right hand side of equation (10) is unbroken.

Case 2. *A Rotation Expansion move is performed.*

In order for a Rotation Expansion move to be performed, we must have $a_1 > b_1$. Let A be the first b_1 vertices of M, namely $A = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{b_1}\}$, and let B be what remains of the first a_1 vertices of M, namely $B = \{v_{b_1+1}, \ldots, v_{a_1}\}$. After the Rotation Expansion is performed, let A' be the first $a_1 - b_1$ vertices of M', namely $A' = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{a_1-b_1}\}$. Let B' be what remains of the first a_1 vertices of M', namely $B' = \{v_{a_1-b_1+1}, \ldots, v_{a_1}\}$. Let C' be the next $a_1 - b_1$ vertices of M', namely $C' = \{v_{a_1+1}, \ldots, v_{2a_1-b_1}\}$.

Let $k = a_1 - 1$ and for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ let $\alpha_i = m(i+1, i)$, thus $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ are the simple eigenvalues for the first top block of M. Let $p = b_1 - 1$, thus $-\alpha_1, \ldots, -\alpha_p$ are the simple eigenvalues for the first bottom block of M. Therefore, the simple eigenvalues for the first bottom block of M' are $\alpha_k, \ldots, \alpha_1$. The simple eigenvalues for the first top block of M' are $-\alpha_k, \ldots, -\alpha_1, \alpha_{p+1}, \alpha_{p+2}, \ldots, \alpha_k$. The simple eigenvalues for every other block of M' are the same as the simple eigenvalues of the block of corresponding size in M. For example, see Figure 7 below where $k = 4$ and $p = 2$.



Figure 7: Rotation expansion applied to M (left) to obtain M' (right), with simple eigenvalues shown

For the new bottom block of size a_1, clearly $\sigma(\overrightarrow{A' \cup B'}) = \sigma(\overleftarrow{A \cup B})$ and $\tau(\overrightarrow{A' \cup B'}) = \tau(\overleftarrow{A \cup B})$, so by induction both are unbroken. For the new top block of size $2a_1 - b_1$, we claim that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\overleftarrow{A'}) &= -\sigma(\overleftarrow{B}), && (11)\\
\sigma(\overleftarrow{B'}) &= -\sigma(\overleftarrow{A}), && (12)\\
\sigma(A' \leftarrow B') &= -\sigma(A \leftarrow B), && (13)\\
\sigma(\overleftarrow{C'}) &= \sigma(\overleftarrow{B}), && (14)\\
\sigma(A' \leftarrow C') &= [\sigma(\overleftarrow{B}) + 1] \cup [-\sigma(\overleftarrow{B}) + 1], && (15)\\
\sigma(B' \leftarrow C') &= \sigma(A \leftarrow B) + 1, && (16)\\
\tau(\overleftarrow{A' \cup B' \cup C'}) &= \left[\tau(\overleftarrow{A \cup B}) - 1\right] \cup S, \text{ where } S \subset \tau(\overleftarrow{A \cup B}). && (17)
\end{aligned}$$

Equations (11) through (15) are obvious. To prove equation (16), note that every element of $\sigma(B' \leftarrow C')$ has the form

$$-\alpha_r - \alpha_{r-1} - \cdots - \alpha_1 + \alpha_{p+1} + \alpha_{p+2} + \cdots + \alpha_q,$$

for some integers r and q where $p \geq r \geq 0$ and $p+1 \leq q \leq k$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{p} -\alpha_i = 1$ we have

$$(-\alpha_r - \alpha_{r-1} - \cdots - \alpha_1) + \alpha_{p+1} + \alpha_{p+2} + \cdots + \alpha_q = (1 + \alpha_{r+1} + \alpha_{r+2} + \cdots + \alpha_p) + \alpha_{p+1} + \alpha_{p+1} + \cdots + \alpha_q.$$

As r and q vary, this generates the set $\sigma(A \leftarrow B) + 1$ as desired.

To prove equation (17), note that if q is an integer such that $k+1 \geq q \geq 1$, then

$$-\alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1} - \cdots - \alpha_q = -1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{q-1} \in \tau(\overleftarrow{A \cup B}) - 1,$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^{k} -\alpha_i = -1$. And if r is an integer such that $p+1 \leq r \leq k$, then

$$-\alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1} - \cdots - \alpha_1 + \alpha_{p+1} + \alpha_{p+2} + \cdots + \alpha_r = -1 + \alpha_{p+1} + \alpha_{p+2} + \cdots + \alpha_r = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_r.$$

Letting $S = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_r \mid p+1 \leq r \leq k\}$, we have $S \subset \tau(\overleftarrow{A \cup B})$.

It follows from equations (11), (12), and (13) that $-\sigma(\overleftarrow{A \cup B})$ is a subset of the eigenvalues in the new top block. By induction, this is an unbroken set that is symmetric about minus one-half. By symmetry, the new top block must also include the eigenvalues $\sigma(\overleftarrow{A \cup B}) + 1$. Therefore, the union of the right hand sides of equations (11) through (16) is an unbroken set. Also, since $\tau(\overleftarrow{A \cup B})$ is unbroken by induction, the right hand side of equation (17) is also unbroken.

Case 3. *A Pure Expansion move is performed.*

Let A be the first a_1 vertices of M, namely $A = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{a_1}\}$. Let B be the next a_2 vertices of M, namely $B = \{v_{a_1+1}, \ldots, v_{a_1+a_2}\}$. After the Pure Expansion is performed, let C' be the first a_2 vertices of M', namely $C' = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{a_2}\}$. Let A' be the next a_1 vertices of M', namely $A' = \{v_{a_2+1}, \ldots, v_{a_2+a_1}\}$. Let B' be the next a_2 vertices of M', namely $B' = \{v_{a_2+a_1+1}, \ldots, v_{a_1+2a_2}\}$.

Let $k = a_1 - 1$ and for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ let $\alpha_i = m(i+1, i)$, thus $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ are the simple eigenvalues for the first top block of M. Let $p = a_2 - 1$ and for $i = 1, \ldots, p$ let $\epsilon_i = m(i+1, i)$, thus $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_p$ are the simple eigenvalues for the second bottom block of M. Let $\gamma = m(a_1, a_1+1)$. Note that whenever $m(i, i+1)$ is a simple eigenvalue but $m(i+1, i)$ is not, $m(i, i+1)$ must be positive (similarly $m(i+1, i) > 0$ if $m(i+1, i)$ is a simple eigenvalue but $m(i, i+1)$ is not). Thus, by Lemma 7, γ is either 1, 2, or 3.

The simple eigenvalues for the first bottom block of M' are $\epsilon_p, \ldots, \epsilon_1$. The simple eigenvalues for the first top block of M' are $-\epsilon_p, \ldots, -\epsilon_1, \gamma, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, -\gamma, \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_p$. The simple eigenvalues for every other block of M' are the same as the simple eigenvalues of the block of corresponding size in M. For example, see Figure 8 below where $k = 3$ and $p = 2$.



Figure 8: Pure expansion applied to M (left) to obtain M' (right), with simple eigenvalues shown

For the new bottom block of size a_2, clearly $\sigma(\overrightarrow{C'}) = \sigma(\overleftarrow{B})$ and $\tau(\overrightarrow{C'}) = \tau(\overleftarrow{B})$, so by induction both are

unbroken. For the new top block of size $a_1 + 2a_2$, we claim that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\overleftarrow{B'}) &= \sigma(\overleftarrow{B}), && (18)\\
\sigma(\overleftarrow{C'}) &= -\sigma(\overleftarrow{B}), && (19)\\
\sigma(\overleftarrow{A'}) &= \sigma(\overleftarrow{A}), && (20)\\
\sigma(C' \leftarrow B') &= [\sigma(\overleftarrow{B}) + 1] \cup [-\sigma(\overleftarrow{B}) + 1], && (21)\\
\sigma(C' \leftarrow A') &= -\tau(\overleftarrow{B}) + \gamma + \tau(\overleftarrow{A}), \text{ where } \gamma = 1, 2, \text{ or } 3, && (22)\\
\sigma(A' \leftarrow B') &= -\sigma(C' \leftarrow A') + 1, && (23)\\
\tau(\overleftarrow{C' \cup A' \cup B'}) &= \left[\tau(\overleftarrow{B}) - 1\right] \cup \left[\tau(\overleftarrow{A}) + \gamma - 1\right] \cup \tau(\overleftarrow{B}). && (24)
\end{aligned}$$

Equations (18) through (21) are obvious. Equation (22) follows from the definitions of $\tau(\overleftarrow{B})$ and $\tau(\overleftarrow{A})$. Since every eigenvalue in $\sigma(C' \leftarrow A')$ has the form

$$-\epsilon_r - \epsilon_{r-1} - \cdots - \epsilon_1 + \gamma + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_q$$

for some integers r and q such that $p \geq r \geq 0$ and $0 \leq q \leq k$, there is a unique eigenvalue in $\sigma(A' \leftarrow B')$ such that the sum of these two eigenvalues is 1, namely

$$\alpha_{q+1} + \alpha_{q+2} + \cdots + \alpha_k - \gamma + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \cdots + \epsilon_r.$$

Equation (23) follows from this.

Next, we show the union of the right hand sides of equations (18) through (23) is unbroken. Since $\sigma(\overleftarrow{A})$ and $\sigma(\overleftarrow{B})$ contain 0 and are unbroken by induction, it follows that the union of the right hand sides of equations (18) through (21) is unbroken and contains both 0 and 1. Since $\gamma = 1, 2$, or 3, $-\tau(\overleftarrow{B})$ is unbroken and contains -1, and $\tau(\overleftarrow{A})$ is unbroken and contains 0, we see that the right hand side of equation (22) is an unbroken set containing $0, 1$, or 2. Thus the right hand side of equation (23) is unbroken and contains $1, 0$, or -1, respectively. It follows that the union of the right hand sides of equations (18) through (23) is unbroken.

To establish equation (24), note that if $p + 1 \geq r \geq 1$, then

$$-\epsilon_p - \epsilon_{p-1} - \cdots - \epsilon_r = -1 + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \ldots \epsilon_{r-1} \in \tau(\overleftarrow{B}) - 1,$$

because $\sum_{i=1}^{p} -\epsilon_i = -1$. If $0 \leq s \leq k$ then

$$-\epsilon_p - \epsilon_{p-1} - \cdots - \epsilon_1 + \gamma + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_s = -1 + \gamma + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_s \in \tau(\overleftarrow{A}) + \gamma - 1.$$

And if $0 \leq q \leq p$, then

$$-\epsilon_p - \epsilon_{p-1} - \cdots - \epsilon_1 + \gamma + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_k - \gamma + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \cdots + \epsilon_q = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \cdots + \epsilon_q \in \tau(\overleftarrow{B}),$$

because $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i = 1$. Now, since $\tau(\overleftarrow{B})$ is unbroken and contains both 0 and 1, $\tau(\overleftarrow{B}) - 1$ is unbroken and contains both -1 and 0, and $\tau(\overleftarrow{A}) + \gamma - 1$ is unbroken and contains 0, 1, or 2 (depending on the value of γ), we conclude that the union of sets in the right hand side of equation (24) is unbroken. □

As noted in Example 1, it seems to be more generally true that the dimensions of the eigenspaces of a Frobenius seaweed form a unimodal sequence. Furthermore, it appears that this unimodality property holds within each block. More formally, we have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 11. *Let A be any top or bottom block in a Frobenius seaweed of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ with $n \geq 2$, and if d_i is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue i in the multiset of eigenvalues contributed by A, then the sequence $\{d_i\}$ is unimodal about one half.*

Remark 12. The proof technique of Theorem 3 might be extended to establish Conjecture 11. However, this will likely require a refinement, or different set, of Winding-down moves. Even so, extensive simulations suggest that the multiplicites do form a unimodal distribution. In fact, more seems to be true. Let λ be a positive integer and let F_λ be a Frobenius seaweed with spectrum $\{1-\lambda,\ldots,\lambda\}$ and let $d_i(F_\lambda)$ equal the dimension of the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $i \in \{1-\lambda,\ldots,\lambda\}$. Consider now a sequence of such seaweeds $\{F_\lambda\}_{\lambda=1}^{\lambda=\infty}$ and an associated sequence of random variables $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda=1}^{\lambda=\infty}$ defined by $P(X_\lambda = i) = \frac{d_i(F_\lambda)}{\dim F_\lambda}$. We conjecture that the sequence of random variables $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda=1}^{\lambda=\infty}$ converges in distribution to a normal distribution (see [**2**]).

7 Afterword

Generally the eigenvalues of the adjoint of a principal element of a Frobenius Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ need not be integers (see [**9**] for examples). Here, we have shown that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Frobenius seaweed subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ then its spectrum must consist of an unbroken multiset of integers with multiplicities forming a symmetric distribution about one half.

In a forthcoming sequence of articles, we show that Theorem 3 is also true if one considers Type-B, Type-C, and Type-D Frobenius seaweeds. The argument in the Type-B and Type-C cases is made interesting (in different ways) by the existence of an exceptional root, while the Type-D case is complicated by the bifurcation point in its associated Dynkin diagram.

Finally, we note that while the unbroken spectrum is a property of Frobenius seaweed subalgebras of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ it is not characteristic. Many Frobenius Lie poset subalgebras [**4**] of $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ have the unbroken property but are not seaweed subalgebras. See the following example.

Example 13. Consider the poset $\mathcal{P} = \{1,2,3,4\}$ with $1,2 \preceq 3 \preceq 4$ and no relations other than those following from these. Letting $\mathbb{C}$ be the ground field, one may construct an associative matrix algebra $A(\mathcal{P},\mathbb{C})$ which is the span over $\mathbb{C}$ of e_{ij}, $i \preceq j$ with multiplication given by $e_{i,j}e_{l,k} = e_{i,k}$ if $j = l$ and 0 otherwise. The associative algebra $A(\mathcal{P},\mathbb{C})$ becomes a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{P},\mathbb{C})$ under commutator multiplication and, if one considers only the elements of trace 0, may be regarded as a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(4)$: In fact, a Frobenius Lie subalgebra with Frobenius functional $F = e^*_{1,4} + e^*_{2,4} + e^*_{2,3}$, principal element $\hat{F} = \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)$, and spectrum $\{0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1\}$. The algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{P},\mathbb{C})$ has rank 3 and dimension 8. However, the only Frobenius seaweed subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(4)$ with the same rank and dimension is of type $\frac{2|2}{1|3}$, but the latter has spectrum given by the multiset $\{-1,0,0,0,1,1,1,2\}$.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Murray Gerstenhaber for many insightful conversations. We also thank Jim Stasheff for giving the first author multiple occasions to speak on these topics at the University of Pennsylvania's Deformation Theory Seminar. We are also indebted to Anthony Giaquinto and Aaron Lauve for pointing out an error in a previous version of this work.

References

[1] A. Belavin, V. Drinfel'd. Solutions of the classical Yang–Baxter equations for simple Lie algebras. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 16:159–180, 1982.

[2] E. Bender. Central and local limit theorems applied to asymptotic enumeration. *J. Comb. Theory (A)*, 15:91-111, 1973.

[3] V. Coll, A. Giaquinto, and C. Magnant. Meander graphs and Frobenius seaweed Lie algebras. *J. Gen. Lie Theory*, 5:Article ID G110103, 2011.

[4] V. Coll, M. Gerstenhaber. Cohomology of Lie semidirect products and poset algebras. *J. Lie Theory*, 26:79-95, 2016.

[5] V. Coll, M. Hyatt, C. Magnant, and H. Wang. Meander graphs and Frobenius seaweed Lie algebras II. *J. Gen. Lie Theory*, 9:1, 2015.

[6] V. Coll, M. Hyatt, and C. Magnant, Symplectic meanders, arXiv:1602.01146, 2016.

[7] V. Coll, C. Magnant, and H. Wang. The signature of a meander, arXiv:1206.2705, 2012.

[8] V. Dergachev, A. Kirillov. Index of Lie algebras of seaweed type. *J. Lie Theory*, 10:331–343, 2000.

[9] A. Diatta, B. Manga. On properties of Principal elements of Frobenius Lie algebras. *J. Lie Theory*, 24:849-864, 2014.

[10] M. Gerstenhaber, A. Giaquinto. Boundary solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equation. *Letters Math. Phys.*, 40:337–353, 1997.

[11] M. Gerstenhaber, A. Giaquinto. Graphs, Frobenius functionals, and the classical Yang-Baxter equation. arXiv:0808.2423, 2008.

[12] M. Gerstenhaber, A. Giaquinto. The principal element of a Frobenius Lie algebra. *Letters Math. Phys.*, 88:333–341, 2009.

[13] A. Joseph. On semi-invariants and index for biparabolic (seaweed) algebras, I. *J. of Algebra*, 305:487–515, 2006.

[14] A. I. Ooms. On Lie algebras having a primitive universal enveloping algebra. *J. Algebra*, 32:488–500, 1974.

[15] A. I. Ooms. On Frobenius Lie algebras. *Comm. Algebra*, 8:13–52, 1980.

[16] D. Panyushev. Inductive formulas for the index of seaweed Lie algebras. *Mosc. Math. Journal*, 2:221-241, 2001.

[17] D. Panyushev, O. Yakimova, On seaweed subalgebras and meander graphs in Type C, arXiv:1601.00305v1, 2016.